

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 22

MAILED

JAN 28 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte EIICHI YOSHIDA and AKIO NAKAJIMA

Appeal No. 2004-1634
Application No. 09/161,277¹

JAN 31 2005

ON BRIEF

Before BARRY, BLANKENSHIP and SAADAT, Administrative Patent Judges.
SAADAT, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION ON APPEAL

This is a decision on appeal from the Examiner's final rejection of claims 1-6, 9-13, 15 and 16. Claims 7, 8 and 14 have been canceled.

We affirm-in-part.

¹ Application for patent filed September 28, 1998, which claims the foreign filing priority benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119 of Japanese Application No. 09-265620, filed September 30, 1997.

BACKGROUND

Appellants' invention is directed to image forming systems in which, even if the power to the printer is suddenly lost, the printer can be restored to its state before the power failure such that the print job processing is continued. According to Appellants, storing the print job processing status determines whether the data related to any jobs remaining to be printed need to be resent (specification, pages 3 & 4). An understanding of the invention can be derived from a reading of exemplary independent claims 1 and 16, which are reproduced bellow:

1. An image forming apparatus that performs printing based on data sent from an external terminal device via a network, comprising:

a non-volatile memory which stores print job processing status information of a plurality of print jobs;

determining means for determining, when the image forming apparatus has been restored to a normal state, whether any of the plurality of print jobs remain to be printed based on the print job processing status information stored in the non-volatile memory; and

resend request issuing means for requesting a terminal device that sent data of a respective print job to resend the data for each of the plurality of prints jobs that is determined remains to be printed.

16. An image forming apparatus that performs printing based on data sent from an external terminal device via a network, comprising:

a non-volatile memory which stores printing processing information of a plurality of print jobs, the printing processing information for each print job including job identification,

Appeal No. 2004-1634
Application No. 09/161,277

image data address, and job status indicating whether or not a print job has been printed;

a volatile memory which stores image data corresponding to each print job at the image data address specified by said non-volatile memory, said volatile memory subject to loss of all data when power is not supplied thereto;

determining means for determining when supply of power to the volatile memory has been interrupted, and when power has been restored to said volatile memory, determining whether there are any print jobs that have not been printed based on the job status information stored in the non-volatile memory;

resend request issuing means for requesting the terminal device that sent the image data of any print job that has not been printed to resend the image data for storing in the volatile memory; and

a controller which, when power is restored to said volatile memory after being interrupted and the determining means determines that there are any print jobs that have not been printed, clears the respective image data address in the non-volatile memory prior to the image data being resent by the corresponding terminal device.

The Examiner relies on the following prior art references:

Tamagaki	5,716,148	Feb. 10, 1998 (filed Dec. 29, 1995)
Bender et al. (Bender)	5,791,790	Aug. 11, 1998 (filed Mar. 13, 1996)

Claims 1-6, 9-13, 15 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C.
§ 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamagaki and Bender.

We make reference to the answer (Paper No. 16, mailed August 27, 2002) for the Examiner's reasoning and to the appeal brief (Paper No. 15, filed June 3, 2002) for Appellants' arguments thereagainst.

OPINION

Appellants argue that because Bender teaches storing all the print job data in a non-volatile memory as the only use of a non-volatile memory (brief, page 5), its combination with Tamagaki leaves no need for issuing a resend request to the host computer (brief, page 6). Appellants further point out that given such disclosure, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used the non-volatile memory of Bender to store all the print job data (id.). Thus, Appellants conclude that modifying Tamagaki with the teachings of Bender would negate the need for a resend request since none of the print data will be lost if power is turned off (id.). Additionally, Appellants argue that the resend request of Tamagaki relates to the data of only one job whereas the claims require processing of a plurality of print jobs (brief, page 9).

In response to Appellants' arguments, the Examiner relies on a dictionary definition² of "nonvolatile memory" as a "battery-backed CMOS RAM" and asserts that the combination of the back-up power source 66 attached to the back-up storage section 65 of Tamagaki could be considered a non-volatile memory (answer, page

² Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary, Third Edition, page 332, 1997 (A copy of the definition from the Second Edition, page 271, 1994 accompanies this decision).

Appeal No. 2004-1634
Application No. 09/161,277

10). The Examiner further points out that since Tamagaki does back-up the status information of the print job for recovering the print job after a power failure, it would have been obvious to use the non-volatile memory of Bender in order to eliminate the need for the back-up power source (answer, page 12). The Examiner further relies on Figures 4, 6 and 11 of Tamagaki and argues that the request for resend does relate to more than one print job as the print job status information includes host identification code, data identification code and information on pages to be sent (answer, pages 12 & 13).

The initial burden of establishing reasons for unpatentability rests on the Examiner. In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d 1443, 1446, 24 USPQ2d 1443, 1445 (Fed. Cir. 1992). The Examiner is expected to make the factual determination supported by teachings in a prior art reference or shown to be common knowledge of unquestionable demonstration, consistent with the holding in set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 17, 148 USPQ 459, 467 (1966). When an obviousness determination relies on the combination of two or more references, there must be some suggestion or motivation to combine the references. See In re Rouffet, 149 F.3d 1350, 1355, 47 USPQ2d 1453, 1456 (Fed. Cir. 1998). A motivation to combine prior art references may be

Appeal No. 2004-1634
Application No. 09/161,277

found in the nature of the problem to be solved. Ruiz v. A.B. Chance Co., 357 F.3d 1270, 1276, 69 USPQ2d 1686,1690 (Fed. Cir. 2004). Also, evidence of a suggestion, teaching, or motivation to modify a reference may flow from the prior art references themselves, the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art, or, in some cases, from the nature of the problem to be solved, see Pro-Mold & Tool Co. v. Great Lakes Plastics, Inc., 75 F.3d 1568, 1573, 37 USPQ2d 1626, 1630 (Fed. Cir. 1996).

From our review of Tamagaki and Bender, we remain unpersuaded by Appellants' arguments of any error in the Examiner's determination regarding the obviousness of the claimed subject matter has occurred. Both references are concerned with recovering the print job data in case of power failure. Tamagaki stores the print job status information in back-up storage section 65 (col. 10, lines 46-49) while back-up power source 66 allows the status information stored in memory 65 to be retained during power failure (col. 9, lines 18-38). Bender, similarly stores the print data during power failure such that the printing process may be resumed after the power is restored. Although Bender stores all the print data for a complete recovery of the print data, the use of a non-volatile memory clearly provides the advantage of using such storage device during power failure whereby the necessary data may be recovered after the power is

Appeal No. 2004-1634
Application No. 09/161,277

restored. Thus, as stated by the Examiner (answer, page 12), the advantages described by Bender would have motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to use a non-volatile memory instead of the battery-backed memory of Tamagaki in order to store the status information without any need for back-up power.

We also remain unconvinced by Appellants (brief, page 6) that modifying Tamagaki to provide a non-volatile memory would have required receiving all the print job data and therefore, would have been contrary to the reference teachings related to the need for a resend request signal. In that regard, the use of a non-volatile memory, no matter what kind of data is to be stored therein, is taught by Bender as a storage device during power failure. The fact that Bender stores the entire print data as well as a "Header File" containing the status information about the print job (col. 4, lines 64-66) does not preclude using such non-volatile memories in the printing apparatus of Tamagaki. In that regard, Tamagaki would have stored the print job status information in a non-volatile memory instead of a battery-backed memory for preserving the print information during power failure. The Examiner's interpretation of the battery-backed memory of Tamagaki as a non-volatile memory notwithstanding, we find that Tamagaki may also be properly modified by using the non-volatile memory of Bender.

Appeal No. 2004-1634
Application No. 09/161,277

Additionally, based on the portions of the prior art relied upon by the Examiner, we disagree with Appellants that the print job processing status information and resend request of Tamagaki relates to the data of only one job. Tamagaki not only relates to multiple hosts connected to a printer network but also requires that the information related to the host, data and pages to be sent again be provided (col. 10, line 61 through col. 11, line 2). The fact that Tamagaki uses more than mere page information to issue a resend request and to differentiate between different hosts and data indicates the presence of a plurality of different print jobs. Therefore, as the Examiner has established a prima facie case of obviousness with respect to claim 1, we sustain the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection of claim 1, as well as claims 2-6, 9-13 and 15, grouped therewith as falling together (brief, page 5) over Tamagaki and Bender.

Turning now to the rejection of claim 16, we note Appellants' arguments with respect to the claimed controller which "clears the respective image data address in the non-volatile memory before the image data is resent (brief, pages 8 & 9). The Examiner's only discussion (answer, page 8) of the controller in claim 16 relies on Tamagaki's request for sending any print jobs that have not been printed (col. 12, line 63 through col. 13, line 4). However, there is nothing in the cited

Appeal No. 2004-1634
Application No. 09/161,277

portions of Tamagaki indicating that the image data address is necessarily cleared before the resend request is issued.

Therefore, the 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection of claim 16 over Tamagaki and Bender cannot be sustained.


Appeal No. 2004-1634
Application No. 09/161,277


CONCLUSION


In view of the foregoing, the decision of the Examiner rejecting claims 1-6, 9-13 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is affirmed but is reversed with respect to claim 16.

No time period for taking any subsequent action in connection with this appeal may be extended under 37 CFR § 1.136(a).

AFFIRMED-IN-PART


LANCE LEONARD BARRY
Administrative Patent Judge


HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP
Administrative Patent Judge


MAHSHID D. SAADAT
Administrative Patent Judge

) BOARD OF PATENT
) APPEALS
) AND
) INTERFERENCES

MDS/ki

Appeal No. 2004-1634
Application No. 09/161,277

McDermott, Will & Emery, LLP
600 13th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-3096

MICROSOFT PRESS®

COMPUTER DICTIONARY

SECOND

EDITION



SCIENTIFIC
INFORMATION CENTER
OCT 27 1993

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

THE COMPREHENSIVE
STANDARD FOR
BUSINESS, SCHOOL,
LIBRARY, AND HOME



LANCE LEONARD BARRY, ESQ.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2300

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

030

Barry, Lance

QA
76.15
M54
1993
c.17

PUBLISHED BY
Microsoft Press
A Division of Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond, Washington 98052-6399

Copyright © 1994 by Microsoft Press

All rights reserved. No part of the contents of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of the publisher.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Microsoft Press computer dictionary : the comprehensive standard for
business, school, library, and home / Microsoft Press. 2nd ed.
p. cm.

ISBN 1-55615-597-2

1. Computers--Dictionaries. 2. Microcomputers--Dictionaries.

I. Microsoft Press. II. Title: Computer dictionary.

QA76.15.M54 1993

004'.03--dc20

93-29868
CIP

Printed and bound in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 MLML 9 8 7 6 5 4

Distributed to the book trade in Canada by Macmillan of Canada, a division of Canada Publishing Corporation.

Distributed to the book trade outside the United States and Canada by
Penguin Books Ltd.

Penguin Books Ltd., Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England

Penguin Books Australia Ltd., Ringwood, Victoria, Australia

Penguin Books N.Z. Ltd., 182-190 Wairau Road, Auckland 10, New Zealand

British Cataloging-in-Publication Data available.

Project Editor: Casey D. Doyle

Manuscript Editor: Alice Copp Smith

Technical Editors: Mary DeJong, Jeff Carey, Dail Magee, Jr., Jim Fuchs, Seth McEvoy

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



laser printers—the only thing they have in common being the lack of direct impact. Ink-jet printers fire the ink itself out of the print head. Thermal printers work with special heat-sensitive paper that turns dark when heated; the print head simply applies heat to the paper in the patterns of characters to print. Laser printers are based on the same technology as photocopiers. A laser beam draws an image on a photosensitive drum. This image on the drum is converted to an electrostatic charge, which attracts and holds toner. As a page is rolled against the drum, heat is applied to fuse the toner to the paper. Although there is contact between the paper and drum, there is no impact involved, only heat. *Compare* impact printer.

noninterlaced An adjective describing a display method on raster-scan monitors in which the electron beam scans each line of the screen once during each refresh cycle. Noninterlaced displays effectively pay attention to every pixel on every line of the screen as the electron beam sweeps across and down the inner surface of the screen, refreshing the displayed image many times each second. *Compare* interlacing.

nonmaskable interrupt Abbreviated NMI. A hardware interrupt (request for service) called nonmaskable because it bypasses and takes priority over interrupt requests generated by software and by the keyboard and other such devices. A nonmaskable interrupt cannot be overruled (masked) by another service request. An NMI is issued to the microprocessor only in disastrous circumstances, such as severe memory errors or impending power failures.

nonprocedural language A programming language that does not follow the procedural paradigm of executing statements, subroutine calls, and control structures sequentially, but instead describes a set of facts and relationships and then is queried for specific results. *Compare* procedural language.

nonreturn to zero Abbreviated NRZ. In data transmission, a method of encoding data in which the signal representing binary digits alternates between positive and negative voltage

when there is a change in digits from 1 to 0 or vice versa. In other words, the signal does not return to a zero, or neutral, level after transmission of each bit; timing is used to distinguish one bit from the next, as when a succession of 1's is transmitted.

In the recording of data on a magnetic surface, NRZ refers to a similar method in which one magnetic state represents a 1 and, usually, the opposite state represents a 0; as in communications, there is no "neutral" state that is used as a reference condition. *Compare* return to zero.

nontrivial A term describing something that is either difficult or particularly meaningful—for example, a complicated programmed procedure to handle a difficult problem would represent a nontrivial solution.

nonvolatile memory A storage system that does not lose data when power is removed from it. Intended to refer to core, ROM, EPROM, flash memory, bubble memory, or battery-backed CMOS RAM, the term is occasionally used in reference to disk subsystems as well. *See also* bubble memory, CMOS RAM, core, EPROM, flash memory, ROM.

NO-OP *See* no-operation instruction.

no-operation instruction Abbreviated NOP or NO-OP; both pronounced "no-opp." A machine instruction that has no results other than to cause the processor to use up a cycle or two of clock time. NOPs are useful in certain situations, such as disabling a call to a subroutine (by replacing the call instruction with a NOP), padding out timing loops, or forcing subsequent instructions to align on certain memory boundaries. *See also* machine instruction.

NOP *See* no-operation instruction.

normal distribution In statistics, a type of function that describes the probabilities of the possible values of a random variable. The function, whose graph is the familiar bell-shaped curve, can be used to determine the probability that the value of the variable will fall within a particular interval of values.

normal form In a relational database, an approach to structuring information. Normal forms avoid